$\mathrm{Ex}\ 25$  - Excerpts of the Deposition of Eric Cherveny taken 11/09/18 in MDL 2804

Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Proximate Causation Grounds

```
1
       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
        FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
3
                EASTERN DIVISION
4
5
     IN RE: NATIONAL
                             : HON. DAN A.
     PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
                            : POLSTER
     LITIGATION
7
     APPLIES TO ALL CASES : NO.
8
                              1:17-MD-2804
9
            - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -
10
    SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
11
12
                November 9, 2018
13
14
15
                 Videotaped deposition of
    ERIC CHERVENY, taken pursuant to notice,
16
    was held at the law offices of Reed
    Smith, LLP, 1717 Arch Street,
    Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, beginning at
17
    9:50 a.m., on the above date, before
18
    Michelle L. Gray, a Registered
    Professional Reporter, Certified
19
    Shorthand Reporter, Certified Realtime
    Reporter, and Notary Public.
20
21
22
           GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
        877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
23
                 deps@golkow.com
2.4
```

```
1
           decision -- the decisionmaking
2
           regarding my investigation that I
3
           completed was conducted, you know,
4
           outside of my realm. So I'm not
5
           sure what the -- what the -- what
6
           the results of those -- those
7
           investigations entailed.
8
    BY MR. PIFKO:
9
           Q. Did you make any
10
    recommendations for actions in the
11
    report?
12
                 You know, it's been so long
           Α.
13
    ago, I don't recall.
14
                 Do you recall if one of the
           Ο.
15
    things that you were evaluating was
16
    whether to fill the order?
17
                  Well, keep in mind this was
           Α.
18
    a system that we operated that was
19
    prior -- prior to the system that held
20
    orders.
21
           0. Okay.
22
                  So this was investigations
           Α.
23
    that occurred after the shipment was
24
    already completed.
```

- Q. Okay. So it's your
- <sup>2</sup> understanding that all these orders and
- 3 in the possible suspicious order report
- 4 had already been shipped; is that
- 5 correct?
- A. Yes. Those were -- those
- were orders that have already been
- 8 shipped. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. And you would look at
- it to evaluate whether there were
- 11 concerns, and you would generate a
- 12 report, but you didn't make any
- 13 recommendations for a course of action
- 14 going forward; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. You provided that to Steve
- Mays, the report?
- A. Yes. I believe I give it
- directly to Steve Mays.
- Q. Did you send it by e-mail?
- A. I don't recall. We may have
- <sup>22</sup> just put it in the Law Track system and
- he retrieved it from there. I don't
- $^{24}$  recall how I gave it to him.